



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,274	12/15/2003	Liem Quang Nguyen	LN-1-js	3826
7590 Michael I. Kroll 171 Stillwell Lane Syosset, NY 11791			EXAMINER LIN, JASON K	
			ART UNIT 2623	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 05/13/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/736,274

Applicant(s)

NGUYEN, LIEM QUANG

Examiner

JASON K. LIN

Art Unit

2623

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 March 2008.
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 6, 8, 10 and 14-16 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 6, 8, 10 and 14-16 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 December 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is responsive to application No. 10/736,274 filed on 03/05/2008.

Claims 2-5, 7, 9, 11-13 have been cancelled and **Claims 1, 6, 8, 10, and 14-16** are pending and have been examined.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to **claims 1, 6, 8, 10, and 14-16** have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

3. **Claim 1** is objected to because of the following informalities: "b) a billing system connected to said **database...**". There is no previous mention of "a database" in independent claim 1, please change to -- b) a billing system connected to **a database...** -- or -- b) a billing system connected to said **data center...** -- Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. **Claims 14-15**, are vague and do not set a clear bound for patentability. In particular, claim 15 which recites "Asian production companies" could simply mean companies doing business in Asia or from Asia.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. **Claims 1, 6, 8, and 10** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thiagarajan et al. (US 2003/0196204), in view of Yurt et al. (US 5,253,275), in view of Lewis (US 2005/0198677), in view of Tiemann (US 2003/0101457), and further in view of Darbee et al. (US 6,130,726).

Consider **claim 1**, Thiagarajan teaches a system for distributing movies (Paragraph 0016) comprising:

a) a data center for storing and cataloging data representing pre-recorded movies, said data center containing a power source, a processor, a storage for containing said data, (102, 104, 106, 108 - Fig.1 {data center}; *Devices of the data center contain servers and processor(s) that contain and process information/content which inherently contain a power source to power the computer servers, a processor to control the computer servers, and storage for containing data in order to store VOD content and other programming*), and a communication device comprising a data center transmitter and a data center receiver (Paragraph 0024 teaches a broadcast transmitter 134-Fig.1; Paragraph 0091 teaches communicating a request to the VOD vendor 106 through the content distribution system 108, *therefore the data center inherently has a receiver for receiving said information over the network*);

c) a plurality of users (110(N); Paragraph 0016, 0026), each user having a display (television 138-Fig.2), an input remote control device having a screen (Paragraph 0031), a processor (processors 304-Fig.3; Paragraph 0036), and a communication device comprising a user transmitter and a user receiver (Paragraph 0027 teaches a satellite dish 136-Fig.1 {receiver}; Paragraph 0091 teaches communicating a request to content distribution system from the client, *therefore it is inherent that the client has a transmitter in order to communicate a request to the data center*);

d) a transmission network connected wirelessly to each of said users independently and to said data center (112-Fig.1; Paragraph 0024, 0027, 0091 teaches a satellite transmission network where users can request and download VOD content from providers), whereby an end user inputs a data request using said remote control device to said user processor (Paragraph 0031, 0088), said data center processor retrieving the data requested from said storage (Paragraph 0091-0093), said user processor directing said display to show said requested data at a time selected by said end user (Paragraph 0034, 0093),

e) said system allowing multiple user to simultaneously access data stored and catalogued in said data center (Paragraph 0016, 0026, 0091).

Thiagarajan does not explicitly teach an input for adding data to said storage;

b) a billing system connected to said database for selectively providing and controlling access to said data by assigning a unique pass code to a user upon making payment;

said transmission network comprising a processor and a communication device comprising a transmission network transmitter and a transmission network receiver, said user processor directing said user transmitter to transmit the data request to said transmission network receiver, said transmission network processor forwarding said data request to said data center receiver, said data center processor directing said data center transmitter to transmit the requested data to said transmission network receiver, said transmission network processor directing said transmission network transmitter to transmit the requested data to said user receiver, said input remote control device screen showing movies available for screening at said data center

In an analogous art Yurt teaches, an input for adding data to said storage (Conversion means 113-Fig.2a; Col 6: line 58 – Col 7: line 21 teaches input means that convert input data into appropriate format for storage in compressed data library 118).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Thiagarajan's system to include an input for adding data to said storage, as taught by Yurt, for the advantage of providing new data originating from multiple formats into one common format to the server, providing newer available selections, better satisfying the viewing needs of various viewers.

Thiagarajan and Yurt do not explicitly teach b) a billing system connected to said database for selectively providing and controlling access to said data by assigning a unique pass code to a user upon making payment;

said transmission network comprising a processor and a communication device comprising a transmission network transmitter and a transmission network receiver, said user processor directing said user transmitter to transmit the data request to said transmission network receiver, said transmission network processor forwarding said data request to said data center receiver, said data center processor directing said data center transmitter to transmit the requested data to said transmission network receiver, said transmission network processor directing said transmission network transmitter to transmit the requested data to said user receiver, said input remote control device screen showing movies available for screening at said data center.

In an analogous art Lewis teaches, a billing system connected to a database for selectively providing and controlling access to data by assigning a unique pass code to a user upon making payment (Paragraph 0023, 0034 teaches a system that can electronically negotiate purchases and has interfaces for billing authorities such as VISA for direct purchase or rental of movies. Paragraph 0035 teaches data received may be in scrambled or encrypted format and upon purchase and completion of all necessary transactions an authorization 'key code' is received from the broadcaster/content provider);

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Thiagarajan and Yurt to include a billing system connected to a database for selectively providing and controlling access to data by assigning a unique pass code to a user upon making payment, as taught by Lewis, for the advantage of providing a comprehensive data management system interfaced with current financial tools for easy purchase/rental of data (Lewis – Paragraph 0010), allowing the provider to easily and efficiently handle purchasing/renting of data, insuring payment is made, and ensuring that only the purchaser has access to the purchased/rented data.

Thiagarajan, Yurt, and Lewis do not explicitly teach said transmission network comprising a processor and a communication device comprising a transmission network transmitter and a transmission network receiver, said user processor directing said user transmitter to transmit the data request to said transmission network receiver, said transmission network processor forwarding said data request to said data center receiver, said data center processor directing said data center transmitter to transmit the requested data to said transmission network receiver, said transmission network processor directing said transmission network transmitter to transmit the requested data to said user receiver, said input remote control device screen showing movies available for screening at said data center.

In an analogous art Tiemann teaches, a transmission network comprising a processor and a communication device comprising a transmission network

transmitter and a transmission network receiver, said user processor directing user transmitter to transmit the data request to said transmission network receiver, said transmission network processor forwarding said data request to data center receiver, data center processor directing data center transmitter to transmit the requested data to said transmission network receiver, said transmission network processor directing said transmission network transmitter to transmit the requested data to said user receiver (Fig.5b; Paragraph 0028 teaches an order can be received by a satellite television company 526 from a customer set top box 522a over a satellite distribution system 530 including satellite 532 as shown in Fig.5b. Paragraph 0034 teaches providing the client the requested movie of the satellite distribution system of Fig.5b. *The transmission network 532-Fig.5b inherently has a network transmitter and network receiver in order to relay user requests to the provider, and relay content provided from the provider to the user. The transmission network 532-Fig.5b inherently has a processor in order to process relaying of user requests and provided content to appropriate users. Thiagarajan – For Data center and user components see rejection above*).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Thiagarajan, Yurt, and Lewis to include a transmission network comprising a processor and a communication device comprising a transmission network transmitter and a transmission network receiver, said user processor directing user transmitter to transmit the data

request to said transmission network receiver, said transmission network processor forwarding said data request to data center receiver, data center processor directing data center transmitter to transmit the requested data to said transmission network receiver, said transmission network processor directing said transmission network transmitter to transmit the requested data to said user receiver, as taught by Tiemann, for the advantage of providing broadcast coverage to users who may not easily be within the provider's broadcasting range, expanding the provider's user base.

Thiagarajan, Yurt, Lewis, and Tiemann do not explicitly teach said input remote control device screen showing movies available for screening at said data center.

In an analogous art Darbee teaches, an input remote control device screen showing movies available for screening at a data center (Remote control device 10-Fig.1; Col 6: lines 50-54, Col 9:lines 58-63, Col 14: lines 31-46 teaches a remote control with a LCD visual display 14-Fig.1 where a user can view a list of available movies for selection).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Thiagarajan, Yurt, Lewis, and Tiemann to include an input remote control device screen showing movies available for screening at a data center, as taught by Darbee, for the advantage of providing program information to a user with causing an interruption in any programming currently

being viewed by the user (Darbee - Col 2: lines 27-32) and allowing the user to easily view and navigate through selections much closely.

Consider **claim 6**, Thiagarajan, Yurt, Lewis, Tiemann, and Darbee teach wherein said input includes at least one of a DVD player, a MPEG player, a video cassette recorder (Yurt - Col 6: line 58 – Col 7: line 21 teaches conversion means. Col 6: lines 13-25 teaches different types of media formats compatible with the digital and analog inputs of the conversion system, namely 113. The different media formats comprises, video tapes, laser disks, optical disks, etc. *Therefore, the conversion system 113 contains respective devices to process the various media formats input into the system*), an internet connection, and an 8MM tape player.

Consider **claim 8**, Thiagarajan, Yurt, Lewis, Tiemann, and Darbee teach wherein said transmission network is at least one of a cable television system, a satellite transmission system (Thiagarajan - Paragraph 0024, 0027), a telephone network, and a fiber optic cable network.

Consider **claim 10**, Thiagarajan, Yurt, Lewis, Tiemann, and Darbee teach teaches wherein said display is at least one of a computer monitor and a television (Thiagarajan - television 138 - Fig.2; Paragraph 0031).

8. **Claims 14-16** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thiagarajan et al. (US 2003/0196204), in view of Yurt et al. (US 5,253,275), in view of Lewis (US 2005/0198677), in view of Tiemann (US 2003/0101457), in view of Darbee et al. (US 6,130,726), and further in view of KINEMA (<http://www.kinema.uwaterloo.ca/ghy-941.htm>).

Consider **claim 14**, Thiagarajan, Yurt, Lewis, Tiemann, and Darbee teach said data represents movies (Paragraph 0054), but does not explicitly teach movies filmed and produced in Asia.

In an analogous art KINEMA teaches, movies filmed and produced in Asia (P. 2: lines 4-6, 22-28, 34-40; P. 3: lines 25-45; P. 4: lines 12-19; P. 5: lines 1-14).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Thiagarajan, Yurt, Lewis, Tiemann, and Darbee to include movies filmed and produced in Asia, as taught by KINEMA, for the advantage of catering to a largely growing Asian population and also to Asian film fans, narrowing the cultural divide of motion pictures and further satisfying the viewing needs of a larger population.

Consider **claim 15**, Thiagarajan, Yurt, Lewis, Tiemann, Darbee, and KINEMA teach wherein said data represents movies filmed and produced by

Asian production companies (KINEMA - P. 2: lines 4-6, 22-28, 34-40; P. 3: lines 25-45; P. 4: lines 12-19; P. 5: lines 1-14).

Consider **claim 16**, Thiagarajan, Yurt, Lewis, Tiemann, Darbee, and KINEMA teach wherein said data further includes at least one of full length motion pictures (KINEMA - P. 2: lines 4-6, 22-28, 34-40; P. 3: lines 25-45; P. 4: lines 12-19; P. 5: lines 1-14) and min-series programs having a predetermined number of parts associated therewith.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JASON K. LIN whose telephone number is (571)270-1446. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9:00AM-6:00PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian T. Pendleton can be reached on (571)272-7527. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jason Lin

05/01/2008

/Brian T. Pendleton/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623